

Dhaka Water Supply and Sewerage Authority

Tender Evaluation Report

Procuring Entity : Executive Engineer, MODS Zone-2, Dhaka WASA.
Name of Tender : Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building
under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
Invitation No. : Tender No. 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142
Date : 02/09/2024
Approving Authority : Chief Engineer.

Submitted By : Tender Evaluation Committee- TEC-8
Date of Submission : 19/09/2024

Table of Contents

Sl. No.	Description	Page #
1.	Cover Page for Standard Evaluation Proforma	1
2.	Table of Contents	2
3.	TEC Report	3
4.	Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement pursuant to Rule 8(17) of PPR-08	4
5.	Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement (Cont.)	5
6.	Individual Declaration of Impartiality: pursuant to Rule 8(13)(a) of PPR-08	6
7.	Collective Certification to Follow the Act, the Rules and others: pursuant to Rule 8(13)(b) of PPR-08	7
8.	Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PW2)	8
9.	Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PW2) (Cont.)	9 & 10
10.	Annexure-1 : Preliminary Examination	11 & 12
11.	Annexure-2 : Technical Examination and Responsiveness	13
12.	Annexure-3 : Financial Evaluation	14 & 15
13.	Annexure-4 : Price comparison	16
14.	Annexure-4A : Selection of Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer	17
	Annexure-5 : Post-qualification	18
	Annexure-6 : Tender Opening Sheet prepared by the concerned Tender Opening Committee pursuant to Rule 97(4) of PPR-08	


[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten mark]


২০

দরপত্র মূল্যায়ন কমিটি-৮ এর প্রতিবেদন
(সার সংক্ষেপ)


ক্রঃ নং	বিবরণ	উত্তর/মন্তব্য
১.	দরপত্র আহ্বানকারী অফিসের নাম	মডস জোন-২, ঢাকা ওয়াসা।
২.	কাজের নাম	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
৩.	দরপত্র নং ও তারিখ	৪৬.১১৩.৪০৫.০০.০০.২০২৪-২৫.১৪২ তারিখ-০২/০৯/২০২৪
৪.	কাজের প্রাক্কলিত মূল্য	৪,৪০,৭৩৬/- (চার লক্ষ চল্লিশ হাজার সাতশত ছত্রিশ) টাকা মাত্র।
৫.	বাজেটভুক্তি (হয়েছে/হয় নাই)	বিল পরিশোধের সময় বাজেটভুক্তি করা হয়।
৬.	কর্ম-পরিকল্পনায় অন্তর্ভুক্ত আছে কিনা (হ্যাঁ/না)	হ্যাঁ, ক্রমিক নং- 93 WO2
৭.	দরপত্রের ধরন	LTM
৮.	খবরের কাগজে বিজ্ঞপ্তি প্রকাশ	প্রযোজ্য নয়।
৯.	দরপত্রের কততম আহ্বান	প্রথম আহ্বান
১০.	দরপত্র গ্রহণের সর্বশেষ তারিখ ও সময়	১৭/০৯/২০২৪ ইং, সময় : ১২-০০ টা
১১.	অংশগ্রহণকারী দর দাতাদের নাম ও ঠিকানা	(১) M/S. Noor Engineering (২) M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering (৩) Premium Quality Limited
১২.	নির্ধারিত সময়ে দরপত্র পাওয়া গিয়াছে কিনা ?	হ্যাঁ
১৩.	দরপত্র খোলার এনাউন্স করা হইয়াছে কিনা ?	হ্যাঁ
১৪.	পর্ববর্ণন	(ক) M/S. Noor Engineering, M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering এবং Premium Quality Limited দরপত্র দলিলের চাহিদা মোতাবেক সম্ভাব্যজনক কাগজ-পত্রাদি জমা প্রদান করায় Preliminary Examination & Responsive বিবেচিত হইয়াছে। (খ) প্রাথমিক বিবেচনায় Responsive হওয়া ৩ (তিন) জন দরদাতা M/S. Noor Engineering বিভাগীয় দরে ৪,৪০,৭৩৬.০০ টাকা দরপত্র দাখিল করেন। M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering ১.০% উর্ধ্বে দরে ৪,৪৫,১৪৩.৩৬ টাকা দরপত্র দাখিল করেন এবং Premium Quality Limited ১.২০% নিম্নে দরে ৪,৩৫,৪৫০.১৬৮ টাকা দরপত্র দাখিল করেন। এমতাবস্থায় Premium Quality Limited নিম্ন দরদাতা হিসাবে বিবেচিত হইয়াছে।
১৫.	সুপারিশ	সর্বনিম্ন দরদাতা Premium Quality Limited এর সর্বমোট ৮ ৪,৩৫,৪৫০.১৬৮ (চার লক্ষ পঁয়ত্রিশ হাজার চারশত পঁয়ষাশ টাকা এক ছয় আট পয়সা) টাকা মাত্র এর দরপত্রটি গ্রহণ করার জন্য সুপারিশ করা হইল।


(Mohammad Nazrul Islam)
Executive Engineer
MODS Zone-2, Dhaka WASA

&
Member Secretary, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.


(Engr. D.M. Zobayed Hossain)
General Manager (Electrical), Bangladesh Oil
Gas & Mineral corporation (PETROBANGLA)
& Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.

(Engr. Sumed Moni Chakma)
Manager
Titas Gas T & D Ltd, Kawran Bazar,
Dhaka
&
Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA


(Engr. Sharmin Hoque Amir)
Superintending Engineer
P & D Circle, Dhaka WASA
&
Chairman, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA

Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement	Pursuant to Rule 8(17) of PPR-08, Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement shall be attached to the Evaluation Reports. The considerations in Rule 8(17) of PPR-08 are:
	Rule 8(17) of PPR-08	Checklists stated under Sub-rule (18) showing the time spent at each stage starting from invitation Application, Tender or Proposal shall be attached to the Evaluation Reports by the Evaluation Committee and forwarded to the officer next to whom the Evaluation Report is to be submitted in accordance with the approval process and who will have to similarly fill in the time spent at his stage in the checklists and forward it to the Approving Authority with the Evaluation Report and other necessary Documents.
	Rule 8(18) of PPR-08	The checklists mentioned in Sub-Rule (17) shall be as Part A, Part B and Part C as applicable, of Schedule IV.

4. Following Part A of Schedule IV, Checklists are prepared and presented bellow:

Procurement Processing and Approval Procedure for Goods or Works

Procuring Entity and Description of Procurement		
1.	Ministry	Local Government, Rural Development and Co-operatives.
	Division	Local Government division.
2.	Agency	Dhaka Water Supply and Sewerage Authority (DWASA).
3.	Procuring Entity	Executive Engineer, MODS Zone-2, Dhaka WASA
4.	Name of the Project (if applicable)	
5.	Source of Funds (tick relevant boxes)	☐ Government ☐ Development ☐ Revenue ☐ Project Aid ☐ Own Funds
6.	Brief Description of Goods or Works	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
7.	Procurement Method	Limited Tendering Method (LTM).

Schedule of Activities				
Sl. No.	Activity (if not applicable indicate N/A)	Planned Date (as per flow chart)	Actual Date	If any delay Indicate No. of days
A	Prequalification : Not Applicable			
B	Tender for Works			
B1	Date of advertisement of Invitation for Tenders	02/09/2024		
B2	Date of Issue of Tender Document (If different from B1 above)			
B3	Date of Pre-Tender meeting			
B4	Date of Submission of Tenders	17/09/2024		
B5	Date of Opening of Tenders (If different from B4)	17/09/2024		
B6	Date of Submission of Technical Sub-Committee Report, where applicable			
B7	Date of submission of Evaluation Report			
B8	Date of Approval for Award of Contract			

[Signature]

[Signature]

Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement (Cont.)

Schedule of Activities in PPPAP Process				
Date of Submission of Tender Evaluation Report to the Approving Authority	Planned Date (as per flow chart)		Actual Date	Delay (In days)
Project Director				
Project Manager				
Authorised Officer (As per DoFP):	Forwarded Received & Approved/Reviewed			
Superintending Engineer				
Secretary/Sr.SA/CAO/CRO/CTO.				
Additional Chief Engineer				
Chief Engineer/Commercial Manager				
Deputy Managing Director [.....]				
Head of Department/Directorate				
Head/Chief Executive of a				
- Corporation				
-Autonomous Body (Managing Director)				
- Semi-Autonomous Body				
Board of Directors (Dhaka WASA Board)				
Ministry/Division				
CCGP				
Date of Issue of the Notification of Award (NOA) for Goods or Works				
Signature of Chairperson of Tender Evaluation Committee- TEC-8				
Name and Designation of Chairperson of Tender Evaluation Committee TEC-8	Engr, Sharmine Hoque Amir Superintending Engineer			


[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

Individual Declaration of Impartiality

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Individual Declaration of Impartiality	Pursuant to Rule 13(a) of PPR-08, each member of the Evaluation Committee shall sign individually a declaration of impartiality. The provisions in Rule 13(a) of PPR-08 are:
	Rule 13 of PPR-08	The each member of the Evaluation Committee shall - (a) sign individually a declaration of impartiality in the following manner -"I (name of the Evaluation Committee member & designation) do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant";

4. The individual declaration of each member of the Tender Evaluation Committee- TEC-8 is given below:




(Mohammad Nazrul Islam)
Executive Engineer
MODS Zone-2, Dhaka WASA
&
Member Secretary , TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.

(Engr. Sumed Moni Chakma)
Manager
Titas Gas T & D Ltd, Kawran Bazar, Dhaka
&
Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA



(Engr. D.M. Zobayed Hossain)
General Manager (Electrical), Bangladesh Oil
Gas & Mineral corporation (PETROBANGLA)
& Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.



(Engr. Sharmine Hoque Amir)
Superintending Engineer
P & D Circle, Dhaka WASA
&
Chairman TEC-8 Dhaka WASA

Collective Certification to Follow the Act, the Rules and others

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Collective Certification to Follo the Act, the Rules and others.	Pursuant to Rule 13(b) of PPR-08, each member of the Evaluation Committee shall sign collectively in the Tender or Proposal Evaluation Report by certifying a prescribed statement. The provisions in ITT Clause 13(b) are:
	Rule 13 of PPR-08	The each member of the Evaluation Committee shall - (b) sign collectively in the Tender or Proposal Evaluation Report, by certifying that - "The Evaluation Committee certifies that the examination and evaluation has followed the requirements of the Act, the Rules made thereunder and the terms and conditions of the prescribed Application, Tender or Proposal Document and that all facts and information have been correctly reflected in the Evaluation Report and, that no substantial or important information has been omitted".

4. The collective certification by the members of the Tender Evaluation Committee-8 is given bellow:

"The Evaluation Committee certifies that the examination and evaluation has followed the requirements of the Act, the Rules made thereunder and the terms and conditions of the prescribed Application, Tender or Proposal Document and that all facts and information have been correctly reflected in the Evaluation Report and, that no substantial or important information has been omitted".




(Mohammad Nazrul Islam)
Executive Engineer
MODS Zone-2, Dhaka WASA
&
Member Secretary , TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.

((Engr. Sumed Moni Chakma)
Manager
Titas Gas T & D Ltd, Kawran Bazar, Dhaka
&
Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.



(Engr. D.M. Zobayed Hossain)
General Manager (Electrical), Bangladesh Oil
Gas & Mineral corporation (PETROBANGLA)
& Member, TEC-8, Dhaka WASA.



(Engr. Sharmine Hoque Amir)
Superintending Engineer
P & D Circle, Dhaka WASA
&
Chairman. TEC-8. Dhaka WASA.

Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PW2)

A. General Information: (Select the appropriate options in the box shown)		
1.	Procuring Entity Name	☐ Executive Engineer MODS ZONE -2, , Dhaka WASA. ☐ Project Director, ... Project, Dhaka WASA.
2.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
3.	Invitation for	☐ Tender (1 st Call) for Works in a single/multiple Lot ☐ Re-tender (2 nd /3 rd Call). for Works in a single/multiple Lot
4.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
5.	Tendering Method	Limited Tendering Method (LTM)
6.	Tender Document Preparation	Pursuant to Rule 4(1) of PPR-08, Tender Document has been prepared using STD PW2 issued by CPTU.
7.	Official Estimate	Tk. 4,40,736.00 (Four Lac Forty Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty Six Taka only).
B. Approval Information:		
8.	Approval of Annual Procurement Plan	☐ APP for the FY 20....-... has been approved by HOPE. ☐ APP not yet approved/placed for approval.
9.	Approval of Tendering Method	☐ Included in APP as Open/Limited Tendering Method. ☐ Changing to LTM has been approved by HOPE/DMD[.....].
10.	Approval of Budget and Source of Funds	☐ Included in APP/to be included in APP/Revised APP. ☐ Allocation from the funds of DWASA Rev. Project/Division has been approved by the competent authority
11.	Approval of Official Estimate	In accordance with the Delegation of Financial Powers (DoFP), Official Estimate has been approved by - ☐ S.E. MODS Circle-1. ☐ Project Director, ... Project. ☐ Secretary/Sr. SA/CAO/CRO/CTO.+ ☐ Additional Chief Engineer ☐ Chief Engineer/Commercial Manager. ☐ Deputy Managing Director(Admin/O&M/RPD/Finance) ☐ Managing Director.
C. Advertisement Information:		
12.	Published in daily Newspaper/website of DWASA/CPTU	☐ [List the Names of Newspaper with publication Date]. ☐ Not Applicable in case of Low value Works under LTM. ☐ Published in Notice Board of Procuring Entity only. ☐ Published in website of Dhaka WASA. ☐ Published in website of CPTU for value of Works more than 1(one) Crore. [Proof of this provision shall be required with a print of the publication in website].
D. Tender Opening Information:		
13.	Tender opened on	17/09/2024 1-00 p.m.
14.	Preparation of Tender Opening Sheet (TOS)	Pursuant to ITT Clause 26 and Rule 97(4) of PPR-08, the Tender Opening Committee (TOC) opened the Tenders received by the Procuring Entity and prepared Tender Opening Sheet (TOS).
E. Tender Evaluation Information:		
15.	Tender evaluated on	_____
16.	Tender Evaluated by	The Tenders received by Procuring Entity were evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee- TEC-8 formed vide memo no. [.....] date _____

[Signature]

[Signature]

Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PW2) (Cont.)

F. Tender Evaluation Procedure:		
17.	Evaluation Procedure	The Tender Evaluation Committee TEC-8 has examined the Tenders pursuant to ITT Clause 27: Evaluation of Tenders. The considerations in ITT Clause 27 are:
	ITT Clause 27.1	The Tender Evaluation Committee TEC-8 may consider a Tender as responsive in the Evaluation, only if it is submitted in compliance with the mandatory requirements set out in the Tender Document. The evaluation process should begin immediately after tender opening following four broad steps: (a) Preliminary examination; (b) Technical examination and responsiveness; (c) Financial evaluation and price comparison; (d) Post-qualification of the lowest evaluated responsive Tender.
18.	Preliminary examination	Pursuant to ITT Clause 28, preliminary examination has been carried out and the details are attached in Annexure-1.
18.1	Observations in preliminary examination stage	• TEC-8 has examined [4] numbers of Tenders received by the Procuring Entity and opened by the Tender Opening Committee (TOC). • Out of which [4] numbers of Tenders are being considered as responsive in this stage of examination.
19.	Technical Examination and Responsiveness	Pursuant to ITT Clause 29, technical examination and responsiveness has been carried out and the details are attached in Annexure-2.
19.1	Observations in Technical Examination and Responsiveness stage	• TEC-8 has examined [4] numbers of Tenders being considered responsive in the preliminary examination stage. • Out of which [4] numbers of Tenders are being considered as responsive in this stage of examination.
20.	Financial Evaluation	Pursuant to ITT Clause 32, financial evaluation has been carried out and the details are attached in Annexure-3.
20.1	Observations in Financial Evaluation stage	• TEC-8 has evaluated [4] numbers of Tenders being considered responsive in the Technical examination stage. ☐ In this stage of evaluation, [.....] numbers of Tenderer(s) being asked for clarifications of their Tenders, including breakdown of unit rates, pursuant to ITT Clause 30.1. ☐ In this stage of evaluation, pursuant to ITT Clause 30.2, the lowest evaluated Tender which is unbalanced or front loaded in the opinion of TEC-8, being asked to produce detailed breakdown of unit price or rates for some of the items in BOQ. ☐ The clarifications/explanations being provided by the concerned Tenderer(s) has been accepted/rejected. ☐ Pursuant to Section 19(1)(ka) of the Public Procurement (Amendment) Act 2010, Tenders having quoted price more than 5% less or more than 5% above of the Official Estimate, has been rejected. ☐ [.....] numbers of Tenders are being adjusted for correction of arithmetical errors pursuant to ITT Clause 31. ☐ The Tenderer(s) are notified for the corrections. ☐ Tenders has been rejected for not accepting the corrections. • [4] numbers of Tenders are being considered as responsive in this stage of examination.





Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PW2) (Cont.)

21.	Price Comparison	Pursuant to ITT Clause 33, price comparison has been carried out and the details are attached in Annexure-4.
21.1	Observations in Price Comparison stage	• TEC-8 has evaluated [4] numbers of Tenders being considered responsive in the Financial Evaluation stage. □ In this stage of evaluation, the Tenderer Premium Quality Limited became the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer, the Tender Price of which is Tk. 4,35,450.168 (Four Lac Thirty Five Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Taka Point One Six Eight Paise Only). During price comparison, [...] numbers of Tenderer became the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer, quoting the same Tender Price being 5% less of the Official Estimate. □ Pursuant to Rule 98(31) of PPR-08, in the event of quoting the same Tender Price, the TEC-8 determined to its satisfaction that the Tenderer M/S ... N/A. ..., having best Work performance in the last [...] years under this Procuring Entity, became the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer, the Tender Price of which is Tk. N/A. (.....). The details are attached in Annexure-4A. □ Pursuant to Rule 98(32) of PPR-08, in the event of quoting the same Tender Price, the TEC-8 determined to its satisfaction that the Tenderer M/S N/A. ..., having best Work performance in the last [...] years under other Procuring Entity(s) of Dhaka WASA, became the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer, the Tender Price of which is Tk. N/A. (.....). The details are attached in Annexure-4A. □ Pursuant to Section 49(1)(ka) of the Public Procurement (Amendment) Act 2010, in the event of quoting the same Tender Price under Limited Tendering Method (LTM), the TEC-8 determined to select the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer by Lottery. □ The Tenderer M/S N/A. ... became successful in the Lottery, the Tender Price of which is Tk. (.....).
22.	Post-qualification of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tender	Pursuant to ITT Clause 35, post-qualification of the lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer has been carried out and the details are attached in Annexure-5.
22.1	Observations in post qualification stage	• Pursuant to Rule 100 of PPR-08, after analyzing/verifying the requirements specified in the Tender Document, TEC-8 determined to its satisfaction that the lowest Evaluated Tenderer Premium Quality Limited is qualified to perform the Contract satisfactorily.
23	Recommendations	□ TEC-8 recommends to accept the Premium Quality Limited having Tender Price Tk. 4,35,450.168 (Four Lac Thirty Five Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Taka Point One Six Eight Paise Only).
24.	Submission	Submitted to the approving authority. Chief Engineer

Signature of TEC-8 Members with Name and Designation.





Preliminary Examination

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Preliminary examination Procedure	Pursuant to ITT Clause 28, preliminary examination has been carried out by TEC-8 The considerations in ITT Clause 28 are:
	ITT Clause 28.1	The TEC shall firstly examine the Tenders to confirm that all documentation requested in ITT Clause 12 has been provided and examine the compliance, adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence.
	ITT Clause 28.2	The TEC shall confirm that the above documents and information have been provided in the Tender and the completeness of the documents and compliance of instructions given in corresponding ITT Clauses shall be verified, failing which the tender shall be considered as non-responsive.
4.	Observations	[4] numbers of Tenders has been examined. - The details are presented in the following check list:

5. Check list for Preliminary Examination:

ITT Clause	Clause Description	1. M/S. Noor Engineering	2. M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering	3. Premium Quality Limited
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
28.1	Examination of documents provided:			
12.	Contents of Tender:			
12.1(a)	Tender Submission Letter (Form PW2-1)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(b)	Tenderer Information (Form PW2-2)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(c)	Priced Bill of Quantities (BOQ)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(d)	Tender Security in BD/PO	N/A	N/A	N/A
12.1(e)	Valid Trade License	Valid	Valid	Valid
12.1(f)	Original & Copy submitted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
	Signed by the Tenderer himself or duly authorized person	Tenderer himself	Tenderer himself	Tenderer himself
	Written authorization attached	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.1	Only enlisted Tenderers of the categories specified in TDS are eligible to participate in the Tender under LTM.			
	Enlistment in Dhaka WASA	Enlisted	Enlisted	Enlisted
	Categories (Civil Contractors of Class all)	Valid	Valid	Valid
12.1(g)	An affidavit confirming that the Tenderer is not insolvent as stated in ITT 3.6	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(h)	Valid Tax Identification Number (TIN)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
	VAT registration number	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(i)	Documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 15	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
12.1(j)	Other documents as specified in TDS:	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted

[Signature]

[Signature]

28.1	examination of the compliance, adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence:			
28.1(a)	Verification of the completeness of the eligibility declaration in Form PW2-1	Complete	Complete	Complete
28.1(b)	Verification of the Trade License	Verified	Verified	Verified
28.1(c)	Verification of the eligibility in terms of legal capacity and fulfillment of taxation obligations by the Tenderer	Verified and eligible	Verified and eligible	Verified and eligible
28.1(d)	Verification of solvency	Verified	Verified	Verified
28.1(e)	Verification of the authorization	Verified	Verified	Verified
28.1(f)	Verification of the validity and authenticity of the Tender Security	Valid and authentic	Valid and authentic	Valid and authentic
28.2	Completeness of the documents and Responsiveness	Complete and Responsive	Complete and Responsive	Complete and Responsive

Handwritten signature

Handwritten mark

Technical Examination and Responsiveness

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Technical examination Procedure	Pursuant to ITT Clause 29, Technical examination has been carried out by TEC-8 The considerations in ITT Clause 29 are:
	ITT Clause 29.1	Only those Tenders surviving preliminary examination need to be examined in this phase.
	ITT Clause 29.2	Secondly, the TEC-8 will examine the adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 15.
	ITT Clause 29.3	The TEC-8 will further examine the terms and conditions specified in Section 7: General Specifications and Section 8: Particular Specifications.
	ITT Clause 29.4	If after the examination, TEC-8 determines that the Tender has complied the terms and conditions and the technical aspects, set out in ITT Sub Clause 29.2 & 29.3, it shall be considered responsive.
4.	Observations	- Tenders surviving preliminary examination has been examined. - The details are presented in the following check list:

5. Check list for Technical Examination:

ITT Clause	Clause Description	1. M/S. Noor Engineering	2. M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering	3. Premium Quality Limited
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)
29.1	Acceptance for evaluation in this phase	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
29.2	Examination of adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 15:	Adequate and authentic	<u>Adequate and authentic</u>	<u>Adequate and authentic</u>
15.1	Completed Form PW2-2	Completed	Completed	Completed
15.1(a)	Details of specific experience in construction works of similar nature as stated in ITT 7:			
7.1	Similar Works Experience (...lakh/...yrs)	Not required	Not required	Not required
	Verification of adequacy and authenticity	Not required	Not required	Not required
15.1(b)	Details of average annual construction turnover as stated in ITT 8.1(a):			
8.1(a)	Construction Turnover (...lakh/...yrs)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
	Verification of adequacy and authenticity	Verified	Verified	Verified
15.1(c)	Details of adequacy of working capital for this contract as stated in ITT 8.1(b):			
8.1(b)	Min. liquid asset (...lakh)	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
	Verification of adequacy and authenticity	Verified	Verified	Verified
15.1(d)	Details of PE's who may be contacted	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
15.1(e)	Authority to seek references from the Tenderer's bankers or any sources	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
29.3	Examination of the terms and conditions specified in Section 7 & 8	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
29.4	Compliance of the terms and conditions and the technical aspects and Responsiveness	Compliance and Responsive	Compliance and Responsive	Compliance and Responsive

[Signature]

[Signature]

Financial Evaluation

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Financial Evaluation Procedure	Pursuant to ITT Clause 32, Financial Evaluation has been carried out by TEC-8 The considerations in ITT Clause 32 are:
	ITT Clause 32.1	Thirdly the TEC, pursuant to Rule 98 of the Public Procurement Rule 2008, will evaluate each Tender that has been determined, up to this stage of the evaluation, to be responsive to the mandatory requirements set out in the Tender Document
	ITT Clause 32.2	To evaluate a Tender, The TEC will consider the following:
		(a) the Tender price as stated under ITT Clause 13;
		(b) Adjustments for correction of arithmetical errors pursuant to ITT clause 31.
4.	Observations	- Tenders being considered responsive in the technical examination stage has been evaluated. - The details are presented in the following check list:

5. Check list for Financial Examination:

ITT Clause	Clause Description	1. M/S. Noor Engineering	2. M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering	3. Premium Quality Limited
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)
32.1	Acceptance for evaluation in this phase	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
32.2(a)	Tender price as stated under ITT Clause 13:			
13.1	Filled in unit rates for all items in BOQ	Filled	Filled	Filled
	Tender price (Tk) as per TSL (Form PW2-1)	TK. 4,40,736.00	TK. 4,45,143.36	TK. 4,35,450.168
13.2	All applicable taxes, CD, VAT and other levies payable by the Contractor shall be included	Included	Included	Included
13.3	The items for which no unit rates have been quoted, will not be paid for, and shall be deemed covered and, it shall not be a reason to change the Tender price			
13.4	The price of a Contract shall be fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed
30.	Clarification on Tender:			
30.1	Ask clarifications i/c breakdowns of unit rates			
30.2	Ask to produce detailed breakdown of unit rates in case of unbalanced or front loaded			
30.3	Tenderer provide clarification	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Clarification acceptable	N/A	N/A	N/A
32.2(b)	Adjustments for correction of arithmetical errors pursuant to ITT clause 31:			
31.1	Arithmetical errors discovered	No	No	No

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

	Corrected Tender Price (Tk)	TK. 4,40,736.00	TK. 4,45,143.36	TK. 4,35,450.168
	Notification to the Tenderer for correction pursuant to Rule 98(11) of PPR-08	N/A	N/A	N/A
31.2	Acceptance of corrections	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
PPA	Pursuant to Section 19(1)(KA) of the Public Procurement (Amendment) Act-2010:			
	Official Estimate	TK. 4,40,736/-	TK. 4,40,736/-	TK. 4,40,736/-
	Corrected Tender Price	TK. 4,40,736.00	TK. 4,45,143.36	TK. 4,35,450.168
	Percent less or above	As per	1.0% Above	1.20 % Less
	Accepted (within 5% less or above)	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
	Rejected (more than 5% less or above)			
	Responsiveness up to this stage	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive

Spun de

Q

Price Comparison

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Price Comparison Procedure	Pursuant to ITT Clause 33, Price Comparison has been carried out by TEC-8 The considerations in ITT Clause 33 are:
	ITT Clause 33.1	The TEC will compare all responsive Tenders to determine the lowest-evaluated Tender, in accordance with ITT Clause 32.
	ITT Clause 34.1	No negotiations shall be held during the Tender evaluation or award with the lowest or any other Tenderer pursuant to Rule 99 of the Public Procurement Rules, 2008.
4.	Observations	- Tenders being responsive in the financial evaluation stage have been compared. - The Official Estimate is Tk 4,40,736/- - Price comparison is presented in the following table:

5. Price Comparison Table:

Sl. No.	Name of the responsive Tenderer	Tender Price (Tk)	Percent Less	Percent Above	As per	Rank
1.	M/S. Noor Engineering	TK. 4,40,736.00	-	As per	-	2 nd Lowest
2.	M/S. Ma Electrical Engineering	TK. 4,45,143.36	-	1.0%	-	3 rd Lowest
3.	Premium Quality Limited	TK. 4,35,450.168	1.20 %	-	-	1 st Lowest
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						

[Signature]

[Signature]

Selection of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Evaluation Procedure (Select the appropriate options in the Box)	☐ Pursuant to Rule 98(31) of PPR-08, in case of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderers quoting the same Tender Price, the TEC-8 determined to evaluate past Work performance in the last 5(five) years under this Procuring Entity. ☐ Pursuant to Rule 98(32) of PPR-08, in case of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderers quoting the same Tender Price, the TEC-8 determined to evaluate past Work performance in the last 5(five) years under other Procuring Entity(s). ☐ Pursuant to Section 49(1)(KA) of the Public Procurement (Amendment) Act 2010, in case of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderers quoting the same Tender price under Limited Tendering Method (LTM), the TEC-8 determined to select the lowest evaluated Tenderer by Lottery.
4.	Observations	[...0...] numbers of Tenderers became lowest quoting the same price. - The details of evaluating past work performance followed:

5. Selection of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer by evaluating past work performance:

Sl. No.	Name of Tenderer	Amount for Works completed			Committed Amounts for Works in Progress	Remarks
		Under this Procuring Entity	Under other Procuring Entity(s) of DWASA	Under other Procuring Entity(s)		
1.	Firm A					
2.	Firm B					
3.	Firm C					

5. Selection of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer by Lottery:

Sl. No.	Name of Tenderer	Rank
1.	Firm A	
2.	Firm B	
3.	Firm C	

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Post-qualification

1.	Name of Tender	Repairing, maintenance & painting work of office building under MODS Zone-2, DWASA.
2.	Invitation reference	Tender No: 46.113.405.00.00.2024-25.142 Date : 02/09/2024
3.	Post-qualification Procedure	Pursuant to ITT Clause 35, Post-qualification has been carried out by TEC-8 The considerations in ITT Clause 35 are:
	ITT Clause 35.1	After determining the lowest evaluated responsive Tender in accordance with ITT Clause 33, the Procuring Entity's TEC pursuant to Rule 100 of the Public Procurement Rules, 2008, shall carry out the Post-qualification of the Tenderer, using only the requirements specified in Sub-section C: Qualification Criteria.
4.	Observations	- Pursuant to Rule 100 of the PPR-08, the TEC-8 analyzed and verified all the requirements specified in the Tender Document. - TEC-8 determined to its satisfaction that the lowest evaluated Tenderer Premium Quality Limited is qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]