## Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Name of Tender | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| 2 | Invitation for Tender No. | Tender no-46.113.431.00.00.155.2025-26.080 |
| 3 | Date | 25-Sep-25 |
| 4 | Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement | Pursuant to Rule 8(17) of PPR-08, Checklists showing the time spent at each stage of procurement shall be attached to the Evaluation Reports. The considerations in Rule 8(17) of PPR-08 are: |
| | Rule 8(17) of PPR-08 | Checklists stated under Sub-rule (18) showing the time spent at each stage starting from invitation Application, Tender or Proposal shall be attached to the Evaluation Reports by the Evaluation Committee and forwarded to the officer next to whom the Evaluation Report is to be submitted in accordance with the approval process and who will have to similarly fill in the time spent at his stage in the checklists and forward it to the Approving Authority with the Evaluation Report and other necessary Documents. |
| | Rule 8(18) of PPR-08 | The checklists mentioned in Sub-Rule (17) shall be as Part A, Part B and Part C as applicable, of Schedule IV. |
| 5 | Part A of Schedule IV | Following this, Checklists are prepared and presented bellow: |

**Procurement Processing and Approval Procedure for Goods or Works**

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Procuring Entity and Description of Procurement** | | | | |
| 1 | Ministry | Local Government, Rural Development and Co-operatives. | | |
| | Division | Local Government Division. | | |
| 2 | Agency | Dhaka Water Supply and Sewerage Authority (DWASA). | | |
| 3 | Procuring Entity | Executive Engineer, Saidabad Water Treatment Plant (Maintena | | |
| 4 | Name of the Project (if applicable) | N/A | | |
| 5 | Source of Funds (tick relevant boxes) | ☐√ Government | ☐ Development | ☐ Revenue |
| | | ☐ Project Aid | | |
| | | ☐ Own Funds | | |
| 6 | Brief Description of Goods or Works | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. | | |
| 7 | Procurement Method | Limited Tendering Method (LTM) | | |

**Schedule of Activities**

| Sl. No. | Activity (if not applicable indicate N/A) | Planned Date (as per flow chart) | Actual Date | If any delay Indicate No. of days |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| A | **Prequalification : Not Applicable** | | | |
| B | **Tender for Works** | | | |
| B1 | Date of advertisement of IFT | | 25-Sep-25 | |
| B2 | Date of Issue of Tender Document (If different from B1 above) | | 25-Sep-25 | |
| B3 | Date of Pre-Tender meeting | | Not Applicable | |
| B4 | Date of Submission of Tenders | | 4-Nov-25 | |
| B5 | Date of Opening of Tenders (If different from B4) | | 4-Nov-25 | |
| B6 | Date of Submission of Technical Sub-Committee Report, where applicable | | Not Applicable | |
| B7 | Date of submission of Evaluation Report | | 4-Nov-25 | |
| B8 | Date of Approval for Award of Contract | | | |

| Schedule of Activities in PPPAP Process | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Date of Submission of Tender Evaluation Report to the Approving Authority | Planned Date (as per flow chart) | | Actual Date | Delay (In days) |
| Project Director<br>Project Manager<br>Authorised Officer(As per DoFP):<br>Superintending Engineer<br>Secretary/Sr.SA/CAO/CRO/CTO.<br>Additional Chief Engineer<br>Chief Engineer/Commercial Manager<br>Deputy Managing Director [.....] | Received | | | |
| | Approved/ Reviewed | | | |
| | Forwarded | | | |
| Head of Department/Directorate<br>Head/Chief Executive of a<br>- Corporation<br>- Autonomous body<br>(Managing Director)<br>- Semi-Autonomous Body | Received | | | |
| | Approved/ Reviewed | | | |
| | Forwarded | | | |
| Board of Directors<br>(Dhaka WASA Board) | Received | | | |
| | Approved/ Reviewed | | | |
| | Forwarded | | | |
| Ministry/Division | Received | | | |
| | Approved/ Reviewed | | | |
| | Forwarded | | | |
| CCGP | Received | | | |
| | Approved/ Reviewed | | | |
| | Forwarded | | | |
| Date of Issue of the Notification of Award (NOA) for Goods or Works | | | | |
| 4/11/25 | | | | |
| Monzurul Hasan<br>Executive Engineer<br>Civil Construction Division<br>&<br>Chairman<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA | | | | |

# Individual Declaration of Impartiality

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Name of Tender | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| 2 | Individual Declaration of Impartiality | Pursuant to Rule 13(a) of PPR-08, each member of the Evaluation Committee shall sign individually a declaration of impartiality. The provisions in Rule 13(a) of PPR-08 are: |
| | Rule 13 of PPR-08 | The each member of the Evaluation Committee shall - |
| | | (a) sign individually a declaration of impartiality in the following manner - |
| | | "I (name of the Evaluation Committee member & designation) do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant"; |
| 3 | Individual declaration by each member of TEC | The individual declaration by each member of the Tender Evaluation Committee-3 is given bellow: |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| "I, Rajib Ahmed, Executive Engineer, Saidapad WTP (Maintanance), Dhaka WASA and Member Secretary of TEC-03, do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant";<br>04-11-25 | "I, Md. Ibrahim Khalil, Deputy-secretary, Administration Divison-1, Dhaka WASA & Member of TEC-01, do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant";<br>04/11/25 | "I, Md. Mafij Uddin<br>Accounts Officer, Accounts Division, Dhaka WASA & Member, TEC-1 do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant".<br>04/11/25 |
| "I, Md. Raihanul Alam<br>Executive Engineer, NOCS, Ramna, DPDC & Member, TEC-1, Dhaka WASA Dhaka, do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderer or Applicant"<br>04.11.25 | | "I, Monzurul Hasan<br>Executive Engineer, Civil Construction Division, Dhaka WASA & Chairman TEC-1 do hereby declare and confirm that I have no business or other links to any of the competing Tenderers or Applicant".<br>4/11/25 |

## Collective Certification to Follow the Act, the Rules and others

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Name of Tender | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| 2 | Collective Certification to Follo the Act, the Rules and others | Pursuant to Rule 13(b) of PPR-08, each member of the Evaluation Committee shall sign collectively in the Tender or Proposal Evaluation Report by certifying a prescribed statement. The provisions in ITT Clause 13(b) are: |
| | Rule 13 of PPR-08 | The each member of the Evaluation Committee shall - |
| | | (b) sign collectively in the Tender or Proposal Evaluation Report, by certifying that - |
| | | "The Evaluation Committee certifies that the examination and evaluation has followed the requirements of the Act, the Rules made thereunder and the terms and conditions of the prescribed Application, Tender or Proposal Document and that all facts and information have been correctly reflected in the Evaluation Report and, that no substantial or important information has been omitted"; |
| 3 | Collective Certification by the members of TEC | The collective certification by the members of the Tender Evaluation Committee-3 is given bellow: |

"The Evaluation Committee certifies that the examination and evaluation has followed the requirements of the Act, the Rules made thereunder and the terms and conditions of the prescribed Application, Tender or Proposal Document and that all facts and information have been correctly reflected in the Evaluation Report and, that no substantial or important information has been omitted"

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 04-11-25<br>Rajib Ahmed<br>Executive Engineer<br>Saidabad WTP (Maintenance)<br>Dhaka WASA & Member Secretary<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA | 04/11/25<br>Md. Ibrahim Khalil<br>Deputy-secretary<br>Administration Divison-2, Dhaka WASA<br>& Member<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA | 04/11/25<br>Md. Mafij Uddin<br>Accounts Officer<br>Accounts Division<br>Dhaka WASA &<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA |
| 04.11.25<br>Md. Raihanul Alam<br>Executive Engineer<br>NOCS, Ramna, DPDC<br>& Member<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA | | 4/11/25<br>Monzurul Hasan<br>Executive Engineer<br>Civil Construction Division<br>& Chairman<br>Tender Evaluation Committee-01<br>Dhaka WASA |

## Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PG2)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | **General Information:** | |
| 1.1 | Ministry | Local Government, Rural Development and Co-operatives. |
| 1.2 | Division | Local Government Division. |
| 1.3 | Agency | Dhaka Water Supply and Sewerage Authority (DWASA). |
| 1.4 | Procuring Entity Name | Executive Engineer, Saidabad Water Treatment Plant (Maintenance), Dhaka WASA , Dholpur, Dhaka-1204 |
| 1.5 | Invitation for | Tender for Goods in Single Lot. |
| 1.6 | Invitation Reference No. | Tender no-46.113.431.00.00.155.2025-26.080 |
| 1.7 | Date | 25-Sep-25 |
| 2 | **Key Information:** | |
| 2.1 | Procurement Method | Limited Tendering Method (LTM) |
| 3 | **Funding Information:** | |
| 3.1 | Budget and Source of Funds | Revenue Budget & Dhaka WASA |
| 4 | **Particular Information:** | |
| 4.1 | Project/Programme Code | N/A |
| 4.2 | Project/Programme Name | N/A |
| 4.3 | Tender Package No. | G-13 |
| 4.4 | Tender Package Name | N/A |
| 4.5 | Tender Package Lot No. | |
| 4.6 | Name of Tender | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| 4.7 | Brief Description of Works | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| 4.8 | Tender Submission Date | 20-Oct-25 |
| 4.9 | Tender Submission Time | Up to 12.00 Noon. |
| 4.10 | Tender Opening Date | 20-Oct-25 |
| 4.11 | Tender Opening Time | At 3:25 PM |
| 5 | **Approval Information:** | |
| 5.1 | Approval of Annual Procurement Plan (APP)/Authority | Approved by HOPE. |
| 5.2 | Approval of Procurement Method | Limited Tendering Method (LTM) Approved by HOPE |
| 5.3 | Approval of Official Estimate | In accordance with the Delegation of Financial Powers (DoFP), Official Estimate has been approved by Chief Engineer, Dhaka WASA. |
| 5.4 | Approval of Tender Document | Pursuant to Rule 4(1) of PPR-08, Tender Document has been prepared using STD PW2b issued by CPTU and approved by Executive Engineer, Saidabad Water Treatment Plant (Maintenance), Dhaka WASA , Dholpur, Dhaka-1204. |
| 6 | **Official Estimate:** | |
| 6.1 | Preparation of Official Estimate | Prepared following the Dhaka WASA Rate Schedule of 2011 & PWD Rate 2022 |
| 6.2 | Official Estimate in figures (Taka) | **998,250.001** |
| 6.3 | Official Estimate in words (Taka) | **Nine Lac Ninety-Eight Thousand Two Hundred & Fifty Point Zero Zero One Taka Only.** |
| 7 | **Advertisement Information:** | |
| 7.1 | Adv of IFT in DWASA Notice Board | 25-Sep-25 |
| 8 | **Formation of TEC and TOC:** | |
| 8.1 | Formation of TEC | Pursuant to Rule 8 of PPR-08, the Tender Evaluation Committee-08 has been formed with the approval of Managing Director as HOPE vide memo no.46.05.0000.212.06.002.24.130, Date 15/05/2025. |
| 8.2 | Formation of TOC | Pursuant to Rule 7 of PPR-08 and para-2 of TEC formation office order, the Tender Openning Committee (TOC) for DWASA has been formed with the approval of Chairman of TEC-01 as Authorized Officer. |
| 9 | **Tender Opening by TOC:** | |
| 9.1 | Tender Opening Date | 20-Oct-25 |
| 9.2 | Tender Opening Time | At 3:25 PM |
| 9.3 | Tender Opening Sheet (TOS) | Pursuant to ITT Clause 31 and Rule 97(4) of PPR-08, the Tender Opening Committee (TOC) opened the Tenders received by the Procuring Entity and prepared Tender Opening Sheet (TOS). TOS is attached in Annexure-5. |
| 9.4 | Number of Tenders as under TOS | 3 |

## Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PG2)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **10** | **Tender Evaluation by TEC-08** | |
| 10.1 | Tender Evaluation Date (1st Meeting) | 4-Nov-25 |
| 10.2 | Tender Evaluation Time (1st Meeting) | At 02:20 PM |
| 10.5 | Evaluation Procedure | The TEC-03 has examined the Tenders pursuant to ITT Clause 32: Evaluation of Tenders. The considerations in ITT Clause 32 are: |
| | ITT Clause 32.1 | The Tender Evaluation Committee may consider a Tender as responsive in the Evaluation, only if it is submitted in compliance with the mandatory requirements set out in the Tender Document. The evaluation process should begin immediately after tender opening following four broad steps:<br>(a) Preliminary examination;<br>(b) Technical examination and responsiveness;<br>(c) Financial evaluation and price comparison;<br>(d) Post-qualification of the lowest evaluated responsive Tender. |
| **11** | **Preliminary Examination:** | |
| 11.1 | Examination Procedure | Pursuant to ITT Clause 33, preliminary examination has been carried out. The considerations in ITT Clause 33 are: |
| | ITT Clause 33.1 | The TEC shall firstly examine the Tenders to confirm that all documentation requested in ITT Clause 19 has been provided and examine the compliance, adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence. |
| | ITT Clause 33.2 | 33.2 TEC shall confirm that the following documents and information have been provided in the Tender. If any of these documents or information is missing, the Tender shall be rejected.<br>(a) Tender Submission Letter;<br>(b) Letter of Enlistment by the specified Procuring Entity; and<br>(c) Priced Bill of Quantities. |
| **12** | **Observations in Preliminary Examination Stage:** | |
| 12.1 | Nr of Tenders Examined in this stage | 3 |
| 12.2 | Nr of Responsive Tenders in this stage | 3 |
| 12.3 | Check list | Attached in Annexure-1. |
| **13** | **Technical Examination and Responsiveness:** | |
| 13.1 | Examination Procedure | Pursuant to ITT Clause 34, technical examination and responsiveness has been carried out. The considerations in ITT Clause 34 are: |
| | ITT Clause 34.1 | If a Tender is not responsive to the mandatory requirements set out in the Tender Document, shall not subsequently be made responsive by the Tenderer by correction of the material deviation, reservation, or omission. |
| | ITT Clause 34.2 | There shall be no requirement as to the minimum number of responsive Tenders. |
| | ITT Clause 34.3 | TEC shall examine the adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 23. |
| | ITT Clause 34.4 | If after the examination, TEC determines that the Tender has complied the terms and conditions and the technical aspects, set out in ITT Sub Clause 34.3, it shall be considered responsive. |
| **14** | **Observations in Technical Examination and Responsiveness stage:** | |
| 14.1 | Nr of Tenders Survived for Examination | 3 |
| 14.2 | Nr of Responsive Tenders in this stage | 3 |
| 14.3 | Check list | Attached in Annexure-2. |
| **15** | **Financial Evaluation:** | |
| 15.1 | Evaluation Procedure | Pursuant to ITT Clause 37, financial evaluation has been carried out. The considerations in ITT Clause 37 are: |
| | ITT Clause 37.1 | TEC shall evaluate each Tender that has been determined, up to this stage of the evaluation, to be responsive to the requirements set out in the Tender Document. |
| | ITT Clause 37.2 | To evaluate a Tender, the TEC shall consider the Tender price after adjustments for correction of arithmetical errors, as stated under ITT Sub Clause 36. |
| | ITT Clause 37.3 | To determine the lowest-evaluated lot(s), the TEC will take into account:<br>(a) the lowest-evaluated Tender for each lot;<br>(b) the resources sufficient to meet the qualifying criteria for the individual lot or aggregate of the qualifying criteria for the multiple lots. |
| **16** | **Observations in Financial Evaluation stage:** | |
| 16.1 | Nr of Responsive Tenders for Fin Eval | 3 |
| 16.2 | Nr of Tender adjusted for Arith. Corr. | 0 |
| 16.3 | Nr of Resp. Tenders up to this stage | 3 |
| 16.4 | Check list | Attached in Annexure-3. |

## Tender Evaluation Report (LTM PG2)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **17** | **Price Comparison:** | |
| 17.1 | Price Comparison Procedure | Pursuant to ITT Clause 38, price comparison has been carried out. The considerations in ITT Clause 38 are: |
| | ITT Clause 38.1 | TEC will compare all responsive Tenders to determine the lowest-evaluated Tender, in accordance with ITT Clause 37. |
| | ITT Clause 38.2 | In the event that there is a tie for the lowest evaluated Tender price, the selection of the successful Tenderers shall be made by open Lottery. A maximum of three (3) number Tenderers in order will be selected during the process of Lottery. |
| **18** | **Observations in Price Comparison stage:** | |
| 18.1 | Nr of Responsive Tenders | |
| 18.2 | Price Comparison Table | Attached in Annexure-4. |
| 18.3 | Lowest Evaluated Tenderer | **M/S Raihan Construction** |
| | Lowest Eval. Tender Price (In Figures) | **963,925.001** |
| | Lowest Eval. Tender Price (In Words) | **Nine Lakh Sixty-Three Thousand Nine Hundred & Twenty-Five Point Zero Zero One Taka Only.** |
| 18.4 | Percent Less or Above or At Par | **-3.44** Less |
| 18.5 | Justification of Lowest Evaluated Tender Price by TEC | Because the Official Estimate has been prepared following the Dhaka WASA Schedule of Rates 2011 and the Tender Price is being less than the Official Estimate, the TEC-01 determines to its satisfaction that the Lowest Evaluated Tender Price is consistent with the prevailing market Price. |
| **19** | **Post-qualification of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer:** | |
| 19.1 | Post-qualification Procedure | Pursuant to ITT Clause 40, Post-qualification of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer has been carried out. The considerations in ITT Clause 35 are: |
| | ITT Clause 40.1 | The determination on Post-Qualification shall be based upon an examination of the documentary evidence of the Tenderer's eligibility and qualifications submitted by the Tenderer, pursuant to ITT Clause 23, clarifications in accordance with ITT Clause 35 and the qualification criteria indicated in ITT Clause 8, 9,10,11 and 12. Factors not included therein shall not be used in the evaluation of the Tenderer's qualification. |
| | ITT Clause 40.2 | In the event that the successful first ranked Tenderer with lowest evaluated Tender price fails the Post-qualification, the TEC shall make a similar determination for the second ranked Tenderer and so on for the remaining third ranked Tenderer. |
| **20** | **Observations in Post-qualification stage:** | |
| 20.1 | Post Qualification of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer | Pursuant to Rule 100 of PPR-08, after analyzing the requirements specified in Sub-section C: Qualification Criteria of the Tender Document, the TEC-03 determined to its satisfaction that the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer, as determined in accordance with ITT Clause 38 in Price Comparison stage, is qualified to perform the Contract satisfactorily. |
| **21** | **Recommendations:** | |
| 21.1 | Recommendations for Acceptance | The TEC-01 recommends to accept the Tender of the Lowest Evaluated Responsive Tenderer being qualied in the Post-qualification stage. |
| 21.2 | Name of the Tenderer | **M/S Raihan Construction** |
| | Tender Price (In Figures) | **963,925.001** |
| | Tender Price (In Words) | Nine Lakh Sixty-Three Thousand Nine Hundred & Twenty-Five Point Zero Zero One Taka Only. |
| **22** | **Submission:** | |
| 22.1 | Submitted to | The approving authority. |
| 22.2 | Approving Authority | Superintending Engineer, W&STP Circle, Dhaka WASA |
| 22.3 | Date of submission | 4-Nov-25 |

04-11-25
Rajib Ahmed
Executive Engineer
SWTP(M)
Dhaka WASA & Member Secretary
Tender Evaluation Committee-01
Dhaka WASA

04/11/25
Md. Ibrahim Khalil
Deputy-secretary
Administration Divison-2
Dhaka WASA & Member
Tender Evaluation Committee-01
Dhaka WASA

04/11/25
Md. Mafij Uddin
Accounts Officer
Accounts Division
Dhaka WASA & Member
Tender Evaluation Committee-01
Dhaka WASA

04/11/25
Md. Raihanul Alam
Executive Engineer
NOCS,Ramna, DPDC
& Member
Tender Evaluation Committee-01
Dhaka WASA

4/11/25
Monzurul Hasan
Executive Engineer
Civil Construction Division
& Chairman
Tender Evaluation Committee-01
Dhaka WASA

# Check list for Preliminary Examination

| ITT Clause | Clause Description | 1<br>M/S Raihan Construction | 2<br>M/S Vola Enterprise | 3<br>M/S Tahin Construction |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 33.1 | Examination of all documentation provided as requested in ITT Clause 19: | | | |
| 19 | Contents of Tender: | | | |
| 19.1(a) | Tender Submission Letter (Form PG2-1) | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(b) | Tenderer Information (Form PG2-2) | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(c) | The priced Bill of Quantities for each lot. | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(d) | Documentary evidence of updated valid enlistment under the Procuring Entity. | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(e) | Tax Identification Number (TIN) and VAT as a proof of fulfilment of taxation obligations. | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(f) | Documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 23 establishing the Tenderer's eligibility and minimum qualifications required to be met for due performance of the Works and physical services under the Contract. | | | |
| 23.(a) | Complete the eligibility declarations in the Tender Submission Letter (Form PG2-1); | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| 23.(b) | Complete the Tenderer Information (Form PG2-2); | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| 23.(c) | Adequacy of minimum liquid assets | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 23.(d) | Key personnel along with their qualification and experience. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 23.(e) | major items of construction equipment proposed to carry out the Contract. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 23.(f) | Authority (s) to seek references from the Tenderer's Bankers or any other sources in its letter-head pad; | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 19.1(g) | Any other document as specified in the TDS. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 33.1 | Confirm that all documentation requested in ITT Clause 19 has been provided, to determine the completeness of each document submitted. | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim |
| 33.2 | Confirm that the following documents and information have been provided in the Tender. If any of these documents or information is missing, the Tender shall be rejected. | | | |
| 33.2(a) | Tender Submission Letter; | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim |
| 33 2 (b) | Letter of Enlistment by the specified Procuring Entity; and | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim |
| 33.2(c) | Priced Bill of Quantities. | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim | Verified and Confrim |
| 33.2 | Completeness of the documents | Complete | Complete | Complete |
| | Responsiveness | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive |

# Check list for Technical Examination and Responsiveness

| ITT Clause | Clause Description | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | M/S Raihan Construction | M/S Vola Enterprise | M/S Tahin Construction |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 34.1 | Acceptance for evaluation in this phase | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted |
| 34.3 | Examination of adequacy and authenticity of the documentary evidence as stated under ITT Clause 23: | | | |
| 25.1 | Completed Form PG2-2 | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| 23.1(a) | Complete the eligibility declarations in the Tender Submission Letter (Form PG2-1); | Complied | Complied | Complied |
| 23.1(b) | Complete the Tenderer Information (Form PG2-2); | Complied | Complied | Complied |
| 23.1(c) | Adequacy of minimum liquid assets | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 23.1(d) | Key personnel along with their qualification and experience proposed for the Contract as stated under ITT Clause 11.1; | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 23.1(e) | Major items of construction equipment proposed to carry out the Contract as stated under ITT Clause 12.1, substantiated by statement(s) in its letter-head pad declaring source of its availability; | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 23.1(f) | Authority (s) to seek references from the Tenderer's Bankers or any other sources in its letter-head pad; | Submitted | Submitted | Submitted |
| 34.4 | If after the examination, TEC determines that the Tender has complied the terms and conditions and the technical aspects, set out in ITT Sub Clause 34.3, it shall be considered responsive. | Fulfilled | Fulfilled | Fulfilled |
| 34.4 | The Tender has complied the terms and conditions and the technical aspects. | Complied | Complied | Complied |
| | Responsiveness | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive |

## Check list for Financial Evaluation

| ITT Clause | Clause Description | 1<br>M/S Raihan Construction | 2<br>M/S Vola Enterprise | 3<br>M/S Tahin Construction |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 37.1 | Acceptance for evaluation in this phase | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted |
| 21 | Tender price | | | |
| 21.1 | Tenderers shall quote on the basis of percentage above, below The price to be quoted in the Tender Submission Letter shall be the total price of the Tender. | | | |
| | Filled in unit rates for all items in BOQ | Filled | Filled | Filled |
| | Tender price (Tk) as per Form PG2-1 | 963,925.00 | 988,988.90 | 998,015.14 |
| 21.2 | Tenderers quoting the total price of the Tender more than five (5) percent above or below the total estimated cost | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 35 | Clarification on Tender: | | | |
| 35.1 | TEC may ask Tenderers for clarifications of their Tenders in order to assist the examination and evaluation of the Tenders. | Not Asked | Not Asked | Not Asked |
| 35.2 | If a Tenderer does not provide clarifications of its Tender by the date and time, its Tender shall not be considered in the evaluation. | Not Asked | Not Asked | Not Asked |
| 37.2 | Adjustments for correction of arithmetical errors pursuant to ITT clause 36: | | | |
| 36.1 | Arithmetical errors discovered | No | No | No |
| | Corrected Tender Price (Tk) | No | No | No |
| | Notification to the Tenderer for correction pursuant to Rule 98(11) of PPR-08 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| | Acceptance of corrections | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| 37.1 | Acceptability of the Tender up to this stage of Evaluation pursuant to Rule 98 of PPR 08. | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |
| | Pursuant to Section 19(1)(KA) of the Public Procurement (Amendment) Act-2010: | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |
| | Official Estimate | 998,250.00 | 998,250.00 | 998,250.00 |
| | Corrected Tender Price | 963,925.00 | 988,988.90 | 998,015.14 |
| | Percent less or above | -3.44 | -0.93 | -0.02 |
| | Accepted | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |
| | Responsiveness | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive |

# Price Comparison Table

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Name of Tender | Procurement of Uniform for Officers and Staffs of Saidabad WTP Maintenance Division. |
| | Invitation for Tender No. | Tender no-46.113.431.00.00.155.2025-26.080 |
| | Date | 25-Sep-25 |

| TSN | Name of the Responsive Tenderer | Tender Price | Percent Less/Above/As Par | | Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | M/S Raihan Construction | 963925.001 | -3.439 | % Less | 1st Lowest |
| 2 | M/S Vola Enterprise | 988988.900 | -0.928 | % Less | 2nd Lowest |
| 3 | M/S Tahin Construction | 998015.142 | -0.024 | % Less | 3rd Lowest |

04-11-25 04/11/25 04.11.25 04/11/25 4/11/25